

Case (With written opinion)	Full Citation	§ 1836 asserted?	(b)(2) Seizure sought?	(b)(2) Seizure granted?	TRO / P.INJCT sought?	TRO / P.INJCT granted?	Posture	Notes
Trek, Inc. v. ITR America, LLC.	<i>Trek, Inc. v. ITR Am., LLC</i> , No. 2:16-CV-13767, 2017 WL 818864 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 2, 2017)	1	0	0	0	0	Defendants filed a motion to dismiss or transfer the case. For the following reasons, the Court will deny the motion.	
Raben Tire Co., LLC v. McFarland	<i>Raben Tire Co., LLC v. McFarland</i> , No. 5:16-CV-00141-TBR, 2017 WL 741569 (W.D. Ky. Feb. 24, 2017)	1	0	0	1	0	Co. has not plausibly alleged how the information in question qualifies as a “trade secret” under federal law, the Court dismisses Raben Tire Co.'s claim under the DTSA and declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction as to the remaining state-law claims. Accordingly, McFarland, Bates, and Tredroc Tire's Motion to Dismiss, [R. 12], is GRANTED IN	Raben Tire Co. not only opposes that motion, [see R. 16 (Response)], and but also asks for leave to conduct discovery to support a motion for preliminary injunctive relief,

Digital Assurance Certification, LLC v. Pendolino	<i>Digital Assurance Certification, LLC v. Pendolino</i> , No. 6:17-CV-72-ORL-31TBS, 2017 WL 715152 (M.D. Fla. Feb. 23, 2017)	1	0	0	1	0	DAC has filed a motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. 20), which is set for hearing on March 2, 2017. In preparation for the hearing, DAC seeks
NEOPART TRANSIT, LLC v. MANAGEMENT CONSULTING, INC., JESHUA SMITH AND ROBERT MONTGOMERY	<i>NEOPART TRANSIT, LLC, Plaintiff, v. MANAGEMENT CONSULTING, INC., JESHUA SMITH AND ROBERT MONTGOMERY, Defendants.</i> , No. CV 16-3103, 2017 WL 714043 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 23, 2017)	1	0	0	1	0	Plaintiffs seeking Preliminary and Permanent Injunction pursuant to 12 Pa.C.S. § 5303 as to all Defendants, Defendants move to dismiss this suit for lack of personal jurisdiction over the Individual Defendants, as well as improper venue, and failure to state a

<p>Magnesita Refractories Company v. Mishra</p>	<p><i>Magnesita Refractories Co. v. Mishra</i>, No. 2:16-CV-524-PPS-JEM, 2017 WL 655860 (N.D. Ind. Feb. 17, 2017)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>Defendant Surendra Mishra wants the <i>ex parte</i> Temporary Restraining Order that I issued in December dissolved</p>	<p>Mishra argued that, if plaintiff was alleging DTSA claims, they <i>had</i> to use 1836(b)(2) rather than FRCP 64 or 65, and in any case had to abide by due process requirements of 1836(b)(2). Court held that this was a patently incorrect interpretation of the law / the FRCP.</p>
---	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

<p>VIA Technologies, Inc. v. ASUS Computer International</p>	<p><i>VIA Techs., Inc. v. ASUS Computer Int'l</i>, No. 14-CV-03586-BLF, 2017 WL 491172 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 7, 2017)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>VIA Labs, Inc., a Taiwan corporation (collectively, "VIA")'s motion for leave to file a third amended complaint ("TAC") adding a claim under the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act. Mot. 2, ECF 200 (citing 18 U.S.C. §§ 1836, et seq. ("DTSA")). Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-1(b), the Court finds VIA's motion for leave to amend suitable for submission without oral argument and hereby VACATES the hearing scheduled for April 13, 2017. As set forth below, the</p>	<p>Opposing party to a motion to amend the complaint complained that adding DTSA claim would be prejudicial <i>because</i> possibility of seizure remedy. (Court rejects this argument, allows amendment.)</p>
--	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

Chubb Ina Holdings Inc. v. Chang	<i>Chubb Ina Holdings Inc. v. Chang</i> , No. CV 16-2354-BRM-DEA, 2017 WL 499682 (D.N.J. Feb. 7, 2017)	1	0	0	1	0	Before this Court is a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint: For the reasons set forth herein, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is DENIED and Plaintiffs' Motion for
Caracal Enterprises LLC v. Suranyi	Caracal Enterprises LLC v. Suranyi, No. 16-CV-05073-RS, 2017 WL 446313 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 2,	1	0	0	0	0	FRCP 12(b)(2) motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction.
Avago Technologies U.S. Inc. v. Nanoprecision Products, Inc.	<i>Avago Techs. U.S. Inc. v. Nanoprecision Prod., Inc.</i> , No. 16-CV-03737-JCS, 2017 WL 412524 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2017)	1	0	0	0	0	Presently before the Court is [plaintiff]'s Motion to Dismiss Counterclaimants' First through Sixth Counterclaims (“Motion”). The Court finds that the Motion is suitable for determination on the papers pursuant Civil Local Rule 7-1(b). For the reasons stated below, the Motion is

<p>High 5 Games, LLC v. Marks</p>	<p><i>High 5 Games, LLC v. Marks</i>, No. CV 13-7161 (JMV), 2017 WL 349375 (D.N.J. Jan. 24, 2017)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint. [ECF No. 171.] The motion is opposed. No argument is necessary. Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b). For the reasons stated below, Plaintiff's motion is</p>	
-----------------------------------	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

KeyCorp v. Holland	<i>KeyCorp v. Holland</i> , No. 3:16-CV-1948-D, 2017 WL 345645 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 24, 2017)	1	0	0	1	1	Plaintiff KeyCorp (“Key”) moves for a preliminary injunction ordering defendants Allison Holland (“Holland”) and Martin Mbeteni (“Mbeteni”) to submit to computer forensic examinations and removal of Key information, extending and enforcing Holland's non-solicitation agreement, and restraining Holland and Mbeteni from using or disclosing Key's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information. ¹ For reasons that follow, ² the court grants the	Grant of preliminary injunction was partial
--------------------	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

							Company that sold hair care products brought action against competitor, asserting claims for trademark and trade dress infringement. Company moved for preliminary injunction enjoining competitor from distributing its allegedly infringing products in their	
Moroccanoil, Inc. v. Zotos International, Inc.	<i>Moroccanoil, Inc. v. Zotos Int'l, Inc.</i> , No. CV167004DMGAGRX, 2017 WL 319309 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 19, 2017)	1	0	0	1	1	Partners, in	
Hydrogen	<i>Hydrogen Master Rights,</i>	1	0	0	0	0		

<p>OOO Brunswick Rail Management v. Sultanov</p>	<p><i>OOO Brunswick Rail Mgmt. v. Sultanov</i>, No. 5:17-CV-00017-EJD, 2017 WL 67119 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 6, 2017)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR SEIZURE AND PRESERVATION ORDERS, EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION</p>	<p>Brunswick seeks an order under the DTSA to seize the company- issued laptop and mobile phone in Sultanov's possession. The DTSA provides that a “court may, upon ex parte application but only in extraordinary circumstances, issue an order providing for the seizure of property necessary to prevent the propagation or dissemination of the trade secret that is the subject of the action” 18</p>
--	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

Unum Group v. Loftus	<i>Unum Grp. v. Loftus</i> , No. 4:16-CV-40154-TSH, 2016 WL 7115967 (D. Mass. Dec. 6, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	Employer filed motion for injunctive relief against employee, and employee filed motion to dismiss. Employer's motion	
Engility Corporation v. Daniels	<i>Engility Corp. v. Daniels</i> , No. 16-CV-2473-WJM-MEH, 2016 WL 7034976 (D. Colo. Dec. 2, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	The preliminary injunction portion of Engility's TRO/PI Motion is granted in part. Conditioned on Engility posting a \$1 million bond, Defendants are enjoined from disclosing or otherwise making use of Engility's trade secrets, and from competing for certain business for a period of one year beginning (retroactively) on	Made Engility post a BOND, much like 1836(b)(2).

<p>XP Global, Inc. v. AVM, L.P.</p>	<p><i>XP Glob., Inc. v. AVM, L.P.</i>, No. 16-CV-80905, 2016 WL 6679427 (S.D. Fla. Nov. 14, 2016)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p> <p>THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Defendant AVM, L.P.'s ("Defendant") Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. [45] (the "Motion"), seeking to dismiss Counts IV, V, and VI of Plaintiff XP Global, Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") Amended Complaint, ECF No. [38]. The Court has carefully reviewed the Motion, all supporting and opposing submissions, the record in this case,</p>	
-------------------------------------	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

Phyllis Schlafly Revocable Trust v. Cori	<i>Phyllis Schlafly Revocable Trust v. Cori</i> , No. 4:16CV01631 JAR, 2016 WL 6611133 (E.D. Mo. Nov. 9, 2016)	1	0	0	1	0	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs Phyllis Schlafly Revocable Trust (“Trust”) and Eagle Trust Fund's (“Eagle Trust”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) emergency motion for temporary restraining order (“TRO”) and
Mission Measurement Corporation v. Blackbaud, Inc.	<i>Mission Measurement Corp. v. Blackbaud, Inc.</i> , No. 16 C 6003, 2016 WL 6277496 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 27, 2016)	1	0	0	0	0	Consulting firm brought action alleging that software developer and company that acquired it misappropriated its trade secrets, in violation of Defend Trade Secrets Act (DTSA) and state

York Risk Services Group, Inc. v. Preferred Reports, LLC	<i>York Risk Servs. Grp., Inc. v. Preferred Reports , LLC</i> , No. 6:16-CV-00063, 2016 WL 7245885 (W.D. La. Oct. 11, 2016), report and recommendation adopted, No. 6:16-CV-00063, 2016 WL 7315395 (W.D. La. Dec. 13, 2016)	1	0	0	0	0	Currently pending before the court is defendant Julia Bonaventure's motion to dismiss the plaintiff's claims against her pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon	
Trulite Glass and Aluminim Solutions,	<i>Trulite Glass & Aluminim Sols. , LLC v. Smith</i> , No. 21601798CVJAMCKD,	1	0	0	1	1	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
First Western Capital Management Company v. Malamed	<i>First W. Capital Mgmt. Co. v. Malamed</i> , No. 16-CV-1961-WJM-MJW, 2016 WL 8358549 (D. Colo. Sept. 30, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	The preliminary injunction portion of Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (ECF No.	Made First Western post a million dollar BOND.

<p>Adams Arms, LLC v. Unified Weapon Systems, Inc.</p>	<p><i>Adams Arms, LLC v. Unified Weapon Sys., Inc.</i>, No. 8:16-CV-1503-T-33AEP, 2016 WL 5391394 (M.D. Fla. Sept. 27, 2016)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>This cause is before the Court pursuant to the following Motions, which are ripe for the Court's review: Defendant Aguius, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Count V of Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. # 46), Defendant Michael C. Bingham's Motion to Dismiss Count V of Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. # 47), Defendant Major General James W. Parker's Motion to Dismiss Count V of Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. # 48), Defendant Unified Weapon Systems, Inc.'s Motion to</p>	
--	--	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---	--

<p>Syntel Sterling Best Shores Mauritius Limited v. Trizetto Group, Inc.</p>	<p><i>Syntel Sterling Best Shores Mauritius Ltd. v. Trizetto Grp., Inc.</i>, No. 15CV211LGSRL, 2016 WL 5338550 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 23, 2016)</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>efore the Court is Defendants' motion for leave to amend their counterclaims against Syntel to (1) add new claims and allegations of copyright infringement; (2) expand allegations of misappropriation under New York law; (3) add new claims and allegations of trade secret theft under the Defend Trade Secrets Act (“DTSA”); (4) expand allegations of breach of contract; and (5) make additional amendments to conform the counterclaims to the</p>	
--	--	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---	--

HealthBanc International, LLC v. Synergy Worldwide, Inc.	<i>HealthBanc Int'l, LLC v. Synergy Worldwide, Inc.</i> , No. 216CV00135JNPPMW, 2016 WL 5255163 (D. Utah Sept. 22, 2016)	1	0	0	0	0	Product developer brought action against multi-level marketing company with whom it had contracted for distribution, alleging constructive fraud, and violations of the Utah and Federal Trade Secret Acts. Company moved to	
XP Global, Inc. v. AVM, L.P.ProCom Heating, Inc. v. GHP Group, Inc.	<i>XP Glob., Inc. v. AVM, L.P.</i> , No. 16-CV-80905, 2016 WL 4987618 (S.D. Fla. Sept. 19, 2016)	1	0	0	0	0	For the reasons set forth below, the Motion to Dismiss is granted in part and denied in part. The Motion for Leave to File an Early Motion for Summary Judgment or	
ProCom Heating, Inc. v. GHP Group, Inc.	<i>ProCom Heating, Inc. v. GHP Grp., Inc.</i> , No. 113CV00163GNSHBB, 2016 WL 8201960 (W.D. Ky. Sept. 9, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	TRO granted: IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Temporary Restraining Order will stay in full force and effect until 14	Plaintiff had to post a \$5000 BOND.

Earthbound Corporation v. MiTek USA, Inc.	<i>Earthbound Corp. v. MiTek USA, Inc.</i> , No. C16-1150 RSM, 2016 WL 4418013 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 19, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	TRO granted: ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, AND SCHEDULING HEARING FOR
M.C. Dean, Inc. v. City of Miami Beach, Florida	<i>M.C. Dean, Inc. v. City of Miami Beach, Florida</i> , 199 F. Supp. 3d 1349 (S.D. Fla. 2016)	1	0	0	0	0	City subcontractor brought action against city and local union, alleging that they violated the Defend Trade Secrets Act (DTSA) and Florida Uniform Trade Secrets Act (FUTSA) when city provided, and union acquired, subcontractor's purported trade
Allstate Insurance Company v. Rote	<i>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Rote</i> , No. 3:16-CV-01432-HZ, 2016 WL 4191015 (D. Or. Aug. 7, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	The Court grants Allstate's motion in part and enters a modified preliminary

Henry Schein, Inc. v. Cook	<i>Henry Schein, Inc. v. Cook</i> , No. 16-CV-03166-JST, 2016 WL 3418537 (N.D. Cal. June 22, 2016)	1	0	0	1	1	Before the Court is Plaintiff Henry Schein, Inc.'s ("HSI") Motion for a Preliminary Injunction against Defendant and former HSI employee Jennifer Cook. ECF No. 2. This Court previously granted HSI's application for a temporary restraining order (TRO), on June 10, 2016, ECF No. 12, and now considers whether to grant an injunction on the same or similar terms. Cook has opposed the motion, ECF No. 15, and the	
Donatello v. County of Niagara	<i>Donatello v. Cty. of Niagara</i> , No. 15-CV-39V, 2016 WL 3090552 (W.D.N.Y. June 2, 2016)	1	0	0	0	0	For the reasons below, the Court respectfully recommends denying the County's motion to dismiss and denying the	

ATS Products, Inc. v. Champion Fiberglass, Inc.	<i>ATS Prod., Inc. v. Champion Fiberglass, Inc.</i> , No. 13-CV-02403-SI, 2015 WL 224815 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2015)	1	0	0	0	0	For the reasons set forth below, the Court GRANTS IN PART the motion to dismiss the third amended complaint, and DENIES the motion to strike. The Court will issue a separate order regarding the
					17	12	0.705882353
ICE Consulting, Inc. et al v. Jensen	<i>ICE CONSULTING, INC., Uzair Sattar, and Derick Needham, Plaintiffs, v. Gavin</i>	1	1	Application for Ex Parte Seizure Withdrawn			
Dazzle Software v. Kinney	<i>Dazzle Software II, LLC v. Kinney</i> , No. 16-CV-12191, 2016 WL 6248906 (E.D. Mich.	1	1	DTSA claim dismissed before ruling on seizure			
		*Is 18 U.S.C. § 1836	Civil seizure under 1836(b)(2)	Civil seizure under 1836(b)(2)		Preliminary Injuntion granted?	
Complaints							

COMPULF E SOFTWARE, INC., Plaintiff, v. Binyomin RUTSTEIN a/k/a Ben		1	0					
SPACE DATA CORPORAT ION, Plaintiff, v.		1	0					
GTO ACCESS SYSTEMS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. GHOST CONTROLS,		1	0					
EFFEX CAPITAL, LLC, Plaintiff, v.		1	0					
KURYAKY N HOLDINGS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. CIRO, LLC,		1	0					

COVIDIEN LP, COVIDIEN SALES LLC, and		1	0					
MOON EXPRESS, INC., Plaintiff, v.		1	0					
SMS AUDIO, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation, and G-Unit Brands, Inc.,		1	0					
Robert FLETCHER and Angt, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. NBCUNIVERSAL MEDIA, LLC f/k/a National		1	0					

PUROLITE CORPORAT ION, Purolite AG, and Purolite K.K., Plaintiffs, v. HITACHI AMERICA,		1	0					
ITR AMERICA, LLC, Plaintiff, v.		1	0					
THE GEO GROUP, INC., a Corporation, BI Incorporated, a		1	0					
Mike HONDA for Congress, an unincorporate d political association, Plaintiff, v. Brian PARVIZSHA		1	0					

COGENRA SOLAR, INC., a Delaware corporation, and KHOSLA VENTURES III, L.P., a Delaware		1	0					
SOLARCITY CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. SUNPOWER CORPORATION		1	0					
SLEEKEZ, LLC, Plaintiff, v. Hal HORTON		1	0					
HSG CONSTRUCTORS, LLC, and Industrial Specialists,		1	0					

Marisa PAWELKO, D/B/A The Modern		1	0					
AUTOTRAK K, LLC, Plaintiff, v. AUTOMOTI VE LEASING SPECIALIST		1	0					
JUDGE TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC., and C.E.E.B.,		1	0					
AVISON YOUNG - CHICAGO, LLC, Plaintiffs, v.		1	0					

FEDERATION OF STATE MASSAGE THERAPY BOARDS, Plaintiff, v. Chang LIU, Benevolence Exam Tutoring Center, Mo		1	0					
KINGSPAN INSULATED PANELS, INC.,		1	0					
PHOENIX ANCIENT ART, S.A., Petrarch LLC a/k/a Electrum, and Regulus International Capital Corp.,		1	0					
BAUER'S INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION, INC., Plaintiff, v.		1	0					

SANITEQ LLC, Plaintiff, v. GE		1	0					
WAYMO LLC, Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLO		1	0					
DECISION Q CORPORAT ION and Decision Q Information Operations, Inc., Plaintiffs, v. GIGM		1	0					





