Hi, thanks for working on patent quality. Here is my suggestion. It is OK to disclose my name and email.

Ray

My name is Ray Grogan and my email is [email redacted]. I tried my hand at patenting a few inventions and will now present how I wished the system had worked. The essence of the suggestion is we should take advantage of the skills and knowledge of all the people who look at an invention. If you look at an invention, you should be required to state your opinion of it. So before a patent application reaches the patent office examiner, it would have been judged by at least the inventor, and in most cases, also by a patent attorney, and often by a supervisor, technology officer, mentor, etc. After the examination there may be other people looking at it. Each person would fill out a simple form.

My name

My role in this invention

Below are my opinions as to whether this invention is new, useful, non-obvious, and well written. I will use a number between 0 and 10, where 0 is poor, 5 is average, and 10 is the best. I may or may not qualify my opinion, like “It was new to me, but I have zero knowledge of what is new in malaria drugs.” Attached is the draft of the application that my opinion was based on.
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My optional other comments (such as the invention's biggest weakness and strength)

Ray Grogan    (319) 321-5685

2464 Halelaau Pl
Honolulu, HI 96816-3404

or

2608 Court St
Iowa City, IA 52245-4801

List of websites:  http://raygrogan2-ivil.tripod.com/